

High *In Vitro* Resistance Barrier for the Bictegravir + Lenacapavir Combination

Michelle L D'Antoni, Silvia Chang, Priyanka Arora, Helen Yu, Nicolas Margot, Christian Callebaut

Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA

Copies of this poster obtained through QR (Quick Response) are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors



Conclusions

- In vitro*, the combination of bictegravir (BIC) + lenacapavir (LEN) demonstrated a high barrier to the emergence of resistance
- Virologic breakthrough was completely inhibited when both BIC and LEN were above the protein-adjusted 95% effective concentration (PAC₉₅)
- BIC demonstrated synergistic anti-HIV-1 activity and no antiretroviral when combined with LEN
- These *in vitro* data suggest that a combination of BIC/LEN may have a high barrier to resistance and a high degree of forgiveness, supporting the ongoing clinical investigation of a BIC/LEN single tablet regimen (STR)

Plain Language Summary

- Doctors use bictegravir (BIC) and lenacapavir (LEN) to treat people with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection
- Researchers are developing a single tablet that contains both BIC and LEN
- In this study, researchers tested whether HIV-infected cells in a lab could become resistant to BIC and LEN
- Resistance means the virus stops responding to the medicine
- They treated the cells with BIC and/or LEN, then looked for mutations (changes in the virus) that could cause resistance
- The results showed that the combination of BIC and LEN worked well to prevent resistance
- When researchers used BIC and LEN levels similar to those used in people, they found no mutations that could cause resistance in the virus
- These results support ongoing research into the combination of BIC + LEN together to treat people with HIV infection

Introduction

- A combination of BIC and LEN is being developed as an STR for people with HIV who are virologically suppressed on complex regimens
 - BIC is a global guideline-recommended integrase strand-transfer inhibitor (INSTI) with a high barrier to resistance^{1,2}
 - LEN is a first-in-class HIV-1 capsid inhibitor, with no documented *de novo* resistance in the absence of prior exposure^{3,4,7}
- There is a strong rationale for combining BIC and LEN, based on:
 - Distinct HIV-1 targets with no cross-resistance^{1,2}
 - High potency²
 - Little to no circulating resistance⁵⁻¹⁰
- The barrier to resistance and forgiveness level for this combination have not been characterized

Objective

- To characterize the *in vitro* barrier to resistance and antiviral drug interaction effects of BIC + LEN combination

Clinical and *In Vitro* Characteristics of BIC and LEN Dosed Orally Daily

	BIC	LEN
Clinical C _{trough} ^{11,12}	High: ~28-fold above PAC ₉₅	High: ~28-fold above PAC ₉₅
Median half-life ²	17.3 hours	10-12 days
Integrase-DNA dissociation half-life ¹²	163 hours	N/A
Antiviral activity ^{13,14,15}	2-3 log	2-3 log
HIV target ¹⁷	Integrase	Capsid
Clinical resistance prevalence ^{17,18}	Low	Low
Clinical resistance ^{14,17,18}	Multiple RAMs required for clinically significant resistance; rare cases of treatment-emergent INSTI-R; no documented naturally occurring RAMs	RAM patterns (Q67H + K70R; M66I) have been observed in clinical studies; no documented naturally occurring RAMs
RAM replicative capacity ^{17,19}	Moderate	Very low
Barrier to resistance ^{14,17,18}	High	Moderate
Cross-resistance ^{2,13}	Active against CAI-R variants	Active against INSTI-R variants

¹¹Mean C_{trough} at steady state¹¹ of 4540 (BIC) and 108 (LEN) ng/mL are ~28-fold higher than PAC₉₅ values of 162 (BIC) and 3.88 (LEN) ng/mL. ¹²Medication varied. ¹³BIC, bictegravir; CAI-R, capsid assembly inhibitor resistance; C_{trough}, trough plasma concentration; INSTI-R, integrase strand-transfer inhibitor resistance; LEN, lenacapavir; PAC₉₅, protein-adjusted 95% effective concentration; RAM, resistance-associated mutation; SS, steady state.

Methods

Virologic Breakthrough

- MT-2 cells were infected in bulk with HIV-1_{ss} at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of ~0.05 and were subsequently exposed to fixed BIC and/or LEN concentrations
 - Antiretroviral concentrations were selected based on trough concentrations at steady state following once-daily maintenance doses of BIC 75 mg + LEN 50 mg as administered during the Phase 2 portion of the ARTISTRY-1 clinical study¹¹
- Wells were visually inspected on a light microscope for the development of virus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE) over 35 days
- Viruses from cultures showing CPE were genotyped using Illumina MiSeq (San Diego, CA, USA) next-generation sequencing by Seq-IT (Kaiserslautern, Germany); detection threshold was ≥ 15% frequency
- Resistance-associated mutations (RAMs) in integrase and capsid:
 - INSTI resistance (INSTI-R) substitutions: T66I/A/K, E92Q/G, T97A, F121Y, Y143R/H/S, S147G, Q149H/K/R, N155H/S, R263K
 - Capsid inhibitor resistance (CAI-R) substitutions: L56I, M66I, Q67H/K/N, K70H/N/S/R, N74D/S, A105S/T, T107A/C/N/S

Combined Antiviral Activity

- MT-2 cells were infected in bulk with HIV-1_{ss} at an MOI of ~0.01 and were subsequently exposed to pairwise BIC and LEN concentrations
- The development of CPE at Day 5 was assessed using a luminescence assay (Cell TiterGlo; Promega, Madison, WI, USA)
- The combination effect of each tested pair of inhibitors was determined using the MacSynergy II program (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA)
- The calculated combination volume was used to define synergy or antagonism for the combination:
 - Highly synergistic (≥ 100), moderately synergistic (≥ 50 to < 100), additive (≥ -50 to < 50), moderately antagonistic (≥ -100 to < -50), and highly antagonistic (< -100)


Results

Clinical and *In Vitro* Drug Concentrations

	BIC	LEN
Human serum shift ^{11,12}	44.0	17.4
EC ₅₀ , nM ^{13,14}	8.3	0.23
PAC ₉₅ , nM	361	4.00
PAC ₉₅ , ng/mL	162	3.88
Mean clinical C _{trough} at SS, ng/mL ¹¹	4540	108
Mean clinical C _{trough} at SS, nM*	10,102	112
Cell culture equivalent (C _{eq}), nM	230	6.41
Cell culture concentration range, nM	2.5-230	0.12-6.41


*Mean C_{trough} (ng/mL) for bictegravir is 449.4 and lenacapavir is 968.3. BIC, bictegravir; C_{trough}, trough plasma concentration; EC₅₀, 50% effective concentration; LEN, lenacapavir; PAC₉₅, protein-adjusted 95% effective concentration; SS, steady state.

Breakthrough Frequency and Emergent Resistance for Breakthrough Resistance Selections




Area of circles is proportional to amount of breakthrough over 35 days. BIC, bictegravir; C_{trough}, trough plasma concentration; LEN, lenacapavir; PAC₉₅, protein-adjusted 95% effective concentration; RAM, resistance-associated mutation; SS, steady state.

- Cells exposed to BIC or LEN alone showed a dose-dependent breakthrough frequency
 - Emergent RAMs were seen in capsid (M66I, Q67H, and N74D) and integrase (R263K) at concentrations 5- to 14-fold below clinical trough plasma concentration (C_{trough})
- When BIC and LEN were combined at various concentrations:
 - Breakthrough was greatly decreased at subtherapeutic concentrations tested; breakthrough was completely inhibited at levels of BIC + LEN above PAC₉₅



BIC and LEN concentrations are equivalent clinical concentrations; values are means from 2-4 independent experiments; error bars denote standard error. BIC, bictegravir; C_{trough}, trough plasma concentration; LEN, lenacapavir.

In Vitro Combination Antiviral Activity



2SD, 2 standard deviations; BIC, bictegravir; d4T, stavudine; EVG, elvitegravir; LEN, lenacapavir; RBV, ribavirin; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide.

In Vitro Combination Antiviral Activity

<i>In Vitro</i> Drug Combination	Synergy/Antagonism Volumes, μM ² ± SD		Combination Effect
	Mean Synergy ± SD	Mean Antagonism ± SD	
BIC + LEN	148 ± 13	-14 ± 10	Highly synergistic
LEN + LEN Additivity control	18 ± 18	-16 ± 6	Additive
BIC + BIC Additivity control	5 ± 5	-10 ± 10	Additive
TAF + EVG Synergy control	201 ± 42	-20 ± 19	Highly synergistic
RBV + d4T Antagonism control	5 ± 5	-902 ± 299	Highly antagonistic

*Data represent the mean of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. BIC, bictegravir; d4T, stavudine; EVG, elvitegravir; LEN, lenacapavir; RBV, ribavirin; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide.

References: 1. Gilead Sciences, Inc. <https://www.gilead.com/news/news-details/2024/scientific-leadership-spotlighted-as-gilead-presents-research-data-across-its-broad-and-innovative-hiv-treatment-portfolio-and-pipeline> (accessed July 21, 2025). 2. US Department of Health and Human Services. <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/sites/default/files/guidelines/documents/adult-and-adolescent-art.pdf> (accessed June 27, 2025). 3. European AIDS Society. <https://www.easociety.org/> (accessed June 27, 2025). 4. Gandhi RT, et al. *JAMA*. 2025;333:659-28. 5. Accosta R, et al. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2019;63:e02533-18. 6. Margot NA, et al. *J Infect Dis*. 2022;226:1985-17. 7. Dorny-Sobol H, et al. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2022;17:5-21. 8. Marcellin A, et al. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2020;75:1588-90. 9. Hansen D, et al. *mSystems*. 2025;19:e018725. 10. de Salazar A, et al. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2023;76:1628-35. 11. Arora P, et al. *Poster P049* presented at HIV Glasgow, Nov 13, 2024, Glasgow, UK. 12. Wyke KR, et al. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2021;65:e00406-20. 13. Link JO, et al. *Nature*. 2020;584:161-64. 14. Gallant JE, et al. *J AIDS*. 2017;75:61-6. 15. Margot NA, et al. *J Infect Dis*. 2025;231:129-45. 16. Hagins D, et al. *AIDS*. 2025 (in press). 17. Xiao MA, et al. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2023;67:e0138622. 18. Tsang M, et al. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60:7086-97.

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc. Medical writing support was provided by Noel Curtis, PhD (Aptec Scientific Ltd, UK), and was prepared by Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Disclosures: All authors are employees of, and own stocks in, Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Correspondence: Michelle D'Antoni, michelle.dantonibrogan@gilead.com.